Imex methods for thin film equations and Cahn Hilliard equations with variable mobility

Saulo Orizaga

New Mexico Tech Department of Mathematics

University Wide Colloquium @ NMT March 1, 2024



- Spinodal decomposition is a process in which two or more materials can separate to form different compositions.
- Phase Segregation applies to liquids and solids (polymers and metals) in different fields of science.
- Theoretical and Numerical structure for such processes



Experiment : Phase Separation



Fig. 2. Field ion micrographs from Fe 45% Cr samples aged for (a) 4, (b) 24, (c) 100 and (d) 500 h. The brightly imaging regions are Cr-enriched and the dark regions Cr-depleted.

Part I: Models for material microstructure evolution







- Micro-structure evolution occurs during formation or processing of materials.
- Track evolution of interfaces, uniformity , pattern formation.
- Phases with different composition, crystalline structure, grain orientation, and structural defects.
- Spatial arrangement of the local structural features determine properties (mechanical, optical, electrical,...)
- Microstructure evolution : biology, hydrodynamics, chemical reactions,...
- Easy to add new physics : Instrument for material design



The solutions u evolve toward minimizers of F(u) and the energy is always non-increasing. It is easy to demonstrate that any solution u(x, t) in an appropriate function class will satisfy

$$\frac{d}{dt}F(u)=-\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\frac{\delta F}{\delta u}\right|^{2}dx\leq0.$$



Example 1: the Cahn-Hilliard equation $u_t = \Delta(-\epsilon^2\Delta u + u^3 - u)$

The equation is the H^{-1} gradient flow of free energy

$$F(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{u^4}{4} - \frac{u^2}{2} \, dx.$$

Convexity splitting

$$F_{+}(u) = \int \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} |\nabla u|^{2} + a \frac{u^{2}}{2} dx, \quad F_{-}(u) = \int \frac{u^{4}}{4} - [1+a] \frac{u^{2}}{2} dx$$
with $F_{+}(u)'' > 0$ and $F_{-}(u)'' < 0$ for $a > 2$.
 $u_{t} = \Delta[\delta F(u)] = \Delta[\delta F_{+}(u) + \delta F_{-}(u)]$
 $u_{t} = \underbrace{\Delta[-\epsilon^{2}\Delta u + au]}_{n+1-level} + \underbrace{\Delta[u^{3} - (1+a)u]}_{n-level}$
 $\frac{u_{n+1} - u_{n}}{h} = (-\epsilon^{2}\Delta^{2} + a\Delta)u_{n+1} + \Delta[(u_{n})^{3} - (1+a)u_{n}].$ (CS)
 $\frac{u_{j}^{*} - u_{n}}{h} = (-\epsilon^{2}\Delta^{2} + a\Delta)u_{j}^{*} + \Delta[(u_{j-1}^{*})^{3} - (1+a)u_{j-1}^{*}].$ (ICS)

Part III : Biharmonic Modified (BHM) Approach

 $u_t = \nabla \cdot (M(u) \nabla \delta F(u)),$

note: if M(u) = 1, we get the usual CH equation $u_t = \Delta[\delta F(u)]$

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + w(u) \, dx.$$

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot (M(u)\nabla(-\epsilon^2\Delta u + w'(u)), \quad (VMCH)$$

Letting $\epsilon=1$ gives

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot (M(u)\nabla[w'(u) - \Delta u]),$$

which can be written

$$u_t = \underbrace{\nabla \cdot (M(u)w'(u)\nabla u)}_{G(u)} - \nabla \cdot (M(u)\nabla\Delta u),$$



BHM approach continued

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \underbrace{\Delta G(u) - \nabla \cdot (M(u) \nabla \Delta u)}_{F(u)},$$

where

$$G(u)=\int M(u)w''(u)\,du.$$

Introducing the splitting, the mobility coefficient function of the fourth-order operator can be written as $M(u) = M_1 + (M(u) - M_1)$ to give the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \underbrace{-M_1 \Delta^2 u}_{F_{im}(u)} + \underbrace{\Delta G(u) - \nabla \cdot [(M(u) - M_1) \nabla \Delta u]}_{F_{ex}(u)}$$



$$\frac{U_{n+1}-U_n}{h}-F_{\rm im}(U_{n+1})=F_{\rm ex}(U_n),\qquad ({\sf BHM})$$

$$\frac{U_{(k)} - U_n}{h} - F_{\text{im}}(U_{(k)}) = F_{\text{ex}}(U_{(k-1)}) \quad (\mathsf{BHM-BE}_{\mathcal{K}})$$

$$\frac{U_{(k)} - U_n}{h} - \frac{1}{2}F_{im}(U_{(k)}) = \frac{1}{2}F_{ex}(U_{(k-1)}) + \frac{1}{2}F(U_n)$$
(BHM-CN_K)



$$\begin{split} & U_{(0)} = U_n, \\ & U_{(1)} = U_{(0)} + h \left(F_{\text{ex}}(U_{(0)}) + F_{\text{im}}(U_{(1)}) \right), \\ & U_{(2)} = \frac{3}{2} U_{(0)} - \frac{1}{2} U_{(1)} + h \left(\frac{1}{2} F_{\text{ex}}(U_{(1)}) + \frac{1}{2} F_{\text{im}}(U_{(2)}) \right), \\ & U_{(3)} = U_{(2)} + h \left(F_{\text{ex}}(U_{(2)}) + F_{\text{im}}(U_{(3)}) \right), \\ & U_{n+1} = U_{(3)} \end{split}$$

(Huailing Song, 2015)



$$\begin{split} & U_{(0)} = U_n, \\ & U_{(1)} = U_{(0)} + h \left(\gamma F_{\text{ex}}(U_{(0)}) + \gamma F_{\text{im}}(U_{(1)}), \right), \\ & U_{(2)} = U_{(0)} + h \left(\delta F_{\text{ex}}(U_{(0)}) + (1 - \delta) F_{\text{ex}}(U_{(1)}) \right. \\ & + (1 - \gamma) F_{\text{im}}(U_{(1)}) + \gamma F_{\text{im}}(U_{(2)}), \\ & U_{n+1} = U_{(2)}, \end{split}$$

where $\gamma = (2 - \sqrt{2})/2$ and $\delta = 1 - 1/(2\gamma)$. (Hector D. Ceniceros 2013)



Approximate by Fourier series

$$u \approx \sum_{k_x=1}^{N} \sum_{k_y=1}^{N} \hat{u}(k, t) \exp \left[2\pi i (\omega_{k_x} x + \omega_{k_y} y)\right],$$

where \hat{u} is computed via FFT.

Discrete Fourier transform is linear map $\hat{u}=\mathcal{F}u$, and Laplacian is discretized

$$\Delta u pprox \mathcal{F}^{-1} \Lambda \mathcal{F} u, \quad \Lambda \hat{u} = -(\omega_{k_x}^2 + \omega_{k_y}^2) \hat{u}$$

Operator inverses are easy by spectral mapping, e.g.

$$(I+h\Delta)^{-1}u\approx \mathcal{F}^{-1}(1+h\Lambda)^{-1}\mathcal{F}u.$$



L_1 errors vs timestep h



Figure: L^1 -norm errors versus *h* of the four time-stepping schemes for the test problem using TF equation on the computational domain $[0, 12\pi]^2$ with 256×256 elements, $\epsilon = 0.1$, $t_f = 1.0$ and $M_1 = 0.32275$.



$BHM-BE_k$





Splitting parameter M_1



Figure: (left) BHM-IMEX1 method and various values for M_1 . (right) The error for the time-stepping methods at fixed h = 0.125 over a range of values of M_1 .



Closer look at BHM-BE_k



Figure: BHM-BE_k using $M_1 = 0.07$.



$$u_e = 0.3 + 0.1 \sin(x) \sin(y) e^{0.5t}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_e}{\partial t} = F(u_e) + \tilde{f}(x, y, t),$$

where F(u) is the right hand side of the original PDE and $\tilde{f}(x, y, t) = u_{et} - F(u_e)$ is the forcing term.



Forced equation errors



Figure: L_1 errors vs *h* using the forced Thin-film Equation for BHM-CN k = 4 using $M_1 = 1$.

Simulations for larger t values



Figure: Nonlinear evolution for the solution to the thin film equation using the BHM-IMEX 2 method with $M_1 = 1$, h = 0.1 and $t_f = 1250$.





Figure: Energy evolution versus time *t* for the Thin film equation using BHM-IMEX 2 method with $M_1 = 5$, h = 0.1 and $t_f = 1250$.



Cahn-Hillliard : 3D Numerical Simulation





Future Work

- Cahn-Hilliard with variable mobility in 3D
- Thin-film Equation (TFE)
- Coarsening dynamics

References

- S. Orizaga, K. Glasner, Instability and reorientation of block copolymer microstructure by imposed electric fields, Physical Review E,93, 052504 (2016)
- Karl Glasner, S. Orizaga, Improving the accuracy of convexity splitting methods for gradient flow equations, Journal of Computational Physics 315 (2016) 52–64.
- 3. H. Song, Energy SSP-IMEX Runge-Kutta methods for the Cahn-Hilliard equation, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 292 (2015), pp. 576–590.
- H. D. Ceniceros and C. J. García-Cervera. A new approach for the numerical solution of diffusion equationswith variable and degenerate mobility.J. Comput. Phys., 246:1–10, 2013.
- A. L. Bertozzi, N. Ju, and H.-W. Lu. A biharmonic-modified forward times stepping method for fourth ordernonlinear diffusion equations. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 29(4):1367–1391, 2011.